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A Hybrid TOA and RSS-based Factor Graph for Wireless Geolocation Technique

Shofiyati Nur Karimah, Muhammad Reza Kahar Aziz, and Tad Matsumoto

Abstract—This paper proposes a new hybrid time-of-arrival (TOA) and received-signal-strength (RSS)-based factor graph (TRFG) for wireless geolocation technique. The TOA-based FG (TFG) provides rough estimated position which is used to select the most appropriate monitoring spot positions, i.e., at least four monitoring spots surrounding the target, and initial target position for RSS-based FG (RFG) technique. The performance of the proposed technique is verified through making comparison with the conventional TFG-only technique suffering from imperfect time synchronization, as well as with the idealistic RFG technique, in terms of the root mean squared error (RMSE) of the estimate. It is shown that the RFG technique utilizing the result of TFG achieves close performance to the idealistic RFG technique where the optimal monitoring spots are assumed to be always correctly identified. Hence, the proposed technique outperforms the TFG-only technique in terms of estimation accuracy.

Index Terms—factor graph, TOA, RSS, wireless geolocation, sensor network

I. INTRODUCTION

High accuracy wireless geolocation techniques have become an important issue in the past two decades due to the increasing demand for recent and future location based wireless systems. This technology is of crucial significance for many location-based service applications, such as Emergency-911 (E-911), location-sensitive billing, and smart transportation systems [1]–[3]. Some geometry-based measurements for wireless geolocation techniques have been proposed, including time-of-arrival (TOA), angle-of-arrival (AOA), received-signal-strength (RSS), and time-difference-of-arrival (TDOA) [4]. In 2003, a technique utilizing stochastic properties of the measurements was proposed to perform location detection using factor graph (FG) introduced by [5]. The sum-product algorithm, used for efficiently calculating the probability marginals, using the mathematical framework of the FG was first introduced in [6]. Hence, the FG can effectively coordinate all stochastic information to obtain high accuracy in geolocation. Only mean and variance are used, in the FG, with the Gaussianity assumption of the measurement error. In addition, in the FG, the global function is decomposed into several local functions resulting the reduction of computational complexity [6], [7].

This paper proposes a new hybrid TOA and RSS based factor graph (TRFG) technique for wireless geolocation, where the TFG is used to provide rough estimated position for selecting the appropriate monitoring spots surrounding the target. The selected monitoring spots are then used by the RFG technique in scenario shown in Fig. 1 to estimate the location of the target. The RFG, introduced in [8], uses pattern recognition, i.e., RADAR1 algorithm [11], to select the four appropriate monitoring spots surrounding the target. However, the monitoring spots selection is not enough precisely described in [8]. The TFG technique, which is introduced in [5], needs perfect timing synchronism, however, it is difficult in practical implementations. Therefore, those two techniques are combined, where TFG is used for providing the rough estimated position used as initial point and to select the appropriate monitoring spots, by which the timing synchronism is improved.

Fig. 1. A basic structure of TRFG wireless geolocation technique depicts the sensors, target, monitoring spots, appropriate monitoring spots, and fusion center.

RADAR: a radio-frequency (RF) based system for locating and tracking users inside buildings.
sensitivity problem can well be avoided, while RFG utilizes the selected monitoring spots for detailed detection. The proposed technique is referred to as hybrid TOA-RSS-based FG (TRFG) technique. It is shown that the proposed TRFG technique can achieve equivalent performance to the idealistic RFG which assumes that the optimal monitoring spots are always selected.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the system model of the proposed technique depicted in Fig. 2 and detail the RSS-based and TOA-based FG algorithms in the figure. The results of the simulations conducted to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm are given in Section III. Section IV concludes this paper.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

The range and received signal power measurements are used to estimate the position of the target. In the proposed technique, each of the sensors, of which positions are known to the fusion center, send both information of time of arrival which has been converted to distance $\hat{d}$ and received signal power in watts $\hat{P}_w$. $\hat{d}$ and $\hat{P}_w$ are obtained through the training process conducted beforehand, and used as reference information in the TFG and RFG techniques, respectively, in the target detection process. As in the standard TOA-based positioning technique, the range measurements provided by, at least, $n = 3$ sensors are available. Then, 2-dimensional ($X, Y$) estimated position can be performed.

The TFG used in this paper is based on the technique introduced in [7], where account is taken of the imperfect time synchronization because as noted in [7] that $1 \mu s$ synchronization inaccuracy, for example, leads to 300 meters estimation error due to the very high velocity of electromagnetic wave propagation, $3 \times 10^8$ meter/second. The imperfect timing synchronization as well as multipath effect due to non-line-of-sight (NLOS) signal components are included as measurement error. The measurement error is assumed as being equivalent to non-zero Gaussian noise. This assumption is reasonable because the accumulated effect of many independent factors results in Gaussian distributed measurement, as mentioned in [7], [8]. The result of the TFG based algorithm are used for selecting the monitoring spots, and also as the initial point for the RFG technique. Besides the technique presented in this paper, an alternative technique for identifying the appropriate monitoring spots is proposed in [10] where a voronoi graph is utilized. However, making performance comparison with the technique shown in [10] is out of the scope of this paper.

Basically, the RFG technique is based on [8]. However, the scenario assumption of indoor [8] is modified to outdoor environments in this paper, shadowing and instantaneous fading variations are eliminated by performing much averaging the RSS measurement around the enough wide vicinity of the monitoring spots in the training process. Hence, there only path-loss remains in the input data to the RFG algorithms.

A. ToA-based Geolocation Technique

In this subsection, the TFG algorithm is briefly described, of which details are introduced in [7]:

Step-1 Assuming that all sensors are synchronized. Each sensor converts the measured noise-corrupted TOA into the distance information $d_i$. Let the measured distance data set be denoted by $d_i$, which is transmitted to the fusion center.
As stated before, the measurement data distributes over a Gaussian distribution as a result of a lot of imperfections. The node $D_i$ in the TFG calculates the mean and variance of the data $d_i$, sent from the $i$-th sensor, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. The calculated mean $m_i$ and variance $\sigma_i^2$ are used in the probability density function (pdf) of $d_i$, as

$$N(d_i, m_i, \sigma_i^2) \propto \exp \left[ \frac{(d_i - m_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2} \right].$$

(1)

The calculated $m_i$ and $\sigma_i^2$ are sent through the passing node $d_i$ as shown in Fig. 2, as the soft information (SI) to be exchanged in the factor graph.

**Step-2** Variable node $d_i$ passes the generated SI, in the form of mean $m_{d_i \rightarrow C_i}$ and variance $\sigma_{d_i \rightarrow C_i}^2$ of the distance $d_i$, from factor node $D_i$ to factor node $C_i$.

**Step-3** Factor node $C_i$ converts the distance $d_i$ information into the x-coordinate and y-coordinate, according to the Pythagorean law.

$$(d_i^k)^2 = (\Delta x_i^k)^2 + (\Delta y_i^k)^2,$$

(2)

where $k$ is iteration index. Therefore the mean and variance calculated in factor node $C_i$ are expressed as

$$m_{C_i \rightarrow \Delta x_i} = \pm \sqrt{m_{d_i \rightarrow C_i}^2 - m_{d_j \rightarrow C_i}^2},$$

$$m_{C_i \rightarrow \Delta y_i} = \pm \sqrt{m_{d_i \rightarrow C_i}^2 - m_{d_j \rightarrow C_i}^2},$$

(3)

$$\sigma_{C_i \rightarrow \Delta x_i}^2 = \frac{m_{d_i \rightarrow C_i}^2 - m_{d_j \rightarrow C_i}^2}{m_{d_j \rightarrow C_i}^2 - m_{d_j \rightarrow C_i}^2},$$

$$\sigma_{C_i \rightarrow \Delta y_i}^2 = \frac{m_{d_i \rightarrow C_i}^2 - m_{d_j \rightarrow C_i}^2}{m_{d_j \rightarrow C_i}^2 - m_{d_j \rightarrow C_i}^2}.$$

(4)

**Step-4** Then relative variable nodes $\Delta x_i$ and $\Delta y_i$ forward the message back and forth between factor node $C_i$ and both factor node $A_i$ and $B_i$, as

$$m_{\Delta x_i \rightarrow C_i} = m_{A_i \rightarrow \Delta x_i},$$

$$m_{\Delta y_i \rightarrow C_i} = m_{B_i \rightarrow \Delta y_i},$$

$$\sigma_{\Delta x_i \rightarrow C_i}^2 = \sigma_{A_i \rightarrow \Delta x_i}^2,$$

$$\sigma_{\Delta y_i \rightarrow C_i}^2 = \sigma_{B_i \rightarrow \Delta y_i}^2,$$

(5)

$$m_{\Delta x_i \rightarrow A_i} = m_{C_i \rightarrow \Delta x_i},$$

$$m_{\Delta y_i \rightarrow B_i} = m_{C_i \rightarrow \Delta y_i},$$

$$\sigma_{\Delta x_i \rightarrow A_i}^2 = \sigma_{C_i \rightarrow \Delta x_i}^2,$$

$$\sigma_{\Delta y_i \rightarrow B_i}^2 = \sigma_{C_i \rightarrow \Delta y_i}^2.$$

(6)

**Step-5** Factor nodes $A_i$ and $B_i$ convert relative location information into the absolute location information which is calculated in the variable nodes $x_{init}$ and $y_{init}$. It should be noted that the location $(X_i, Y_i)$ of sensors have been already known. The SI which is calculated in the nodes $A_i$ and $B_i$ are described as

$$m_{A_i \rightarrow x_i} = X_i - m_{\Delta x_i \rightarrow A_i},$$

$$m_{B_i \rightarrow y_i} = Y_i - m_{\Delta y_i \rightarrow B_i},$$

$$\sigma_{A_i \rightarrow x_i}^2 = \sigma_{\Delta x_i \rightarrow A_i}^2,$$

$$\sigma_{B_i \rightarrow y_i}^2 = \sigma_{\Delta y_i \rightarrow B_i}^2,$$

(7)

$$m_{A_i \rightarrow \Delta x_i} = X_i - m_{\Delta x_i \rightarrow A_i},$$

$$m_{B_i \rightarrow \Delta y_i} = Y_i - m_{\Delta y_i \rightarrow B_i},$$

$$\sigma_{A_i \rightarrow \Delta x_i}^2 = \sigma_{\Delta x_i \rightarrow A_i}^2,$$

$$\sigma_{B_i \rightarrow \Delta y_i}^2 = \sigma_{\Delta y_i \rightarrow B_i}^2,$$

(8)

where (9) and (10) are mean and variance from factor node $A_i$ and $B_i$ to variable node $x_{init}$ and $y_{init}$, while (11) and (12) are mean and variance to be forwarded from factor node $A_i$ and $B_i$ to variable node $\Delta x_i$ and $\Delta y_i$.

**Step-6** When the messages reach variable nodes $x_{init}$ and $y_{init}$, all the messages from factor nodes $A_i$ are summed in variable node $x_{init}$, and the messages from factor nodes $B_i$ are summed by the variable node $y_{init}$, according to the sum-product algorithm. Equation (13) described below shows the general notation of the sum-product algorithm in the pdf domain which is used in factor graph [6]–[8].

$$\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} N(x, m_j, \sigma_j^2) \propto N(x, m_{A_i}, \sigma_{A_i}^2), \quad j = 1, 2, ..., N,$$

(13)

with $j$ being the sensor index. Equation (13) uses the fact that product of independent identically distributed (i.i.d) Gaussian variables are still Gaussian distributed [10]. Referring to [6], a close form of the sum-product algorithm which is proposed run in variable node $x_{init}$, and the result to be forwarded back to factor node $A$ is expressed as

$$1 \sigma_{x_{init} \rightarrow A_i}^2 = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \frac{1}{\sigma_{j \rightarrow x}^2},$$

(14)

$$m_{x_{init} \rightarrow A_i} = \sigma_{x_{init} \rightarrow A_j}^2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \frac{m_{A_j \rightarrow x}}{\sigma_{A_j \rightarrow x}^2},$$

(15)

The procedure (14) and (15) for variable node $x_{init}$ above can be applied similarly to variable node $y_{init}$.

**Step-7** Repeat the process of step 3) to 6).

**Step-8** After the iteration converges, the variable nodes $x_{init}$ and $y_{init}$ combine all incoming information from all factor nodes $A_i$ and $B_i$ by modifying the index of the messages given by (14) and (15), as

$$1 \sigma_{x_{init} \rightarrow A_i}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sigma_{A_i \rightarrow x}^2},$$

(16)

$$m_{x_{init} \rightarrow A_i} = \sigma_{x_{init} \rightarrow A_i}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{m_{A_i \rightarrow x}}{\sigma_{A_i \rightarrow x}^2}.$$
indicate the rough estimation of TOA which is used for selecting four monitoring spots as has been done in [10]. The rough estimation of TOA is also used as initial point in the RFG. If the selected monitoring spots are the closest cover to the real target, they are called “idealistic” ones.

B. RSS-based Geolocation Technique

The first process in the RFG technique is to provide graph with RSS measurement in watts ($\bar{P}_i$) from sensors as the SI as mentioned, before, RSS containing the path-loss information only is processed in the fusion center. Hence, the RSS path-loss exponent model shown as following equations.

$$\text{PLF} = 20 \log 10 \left( \frac{4\pi d_0 f}{c} \right),$$

$$\text{PLE}(d) = -\text{PLF} - 10n_p \log 10 \left( \frac{d}{d_0} \right),$$

where $\text{PLF}$ and $\text{PLE}$, both in dB, are free space path-loss and path-loss exponent measurement, respectively. $d, d_0, f, c, \text{ and } n_p$ are euclidean distance in meter, reference distance of path-loss exponential model in meter, carrier frequency in hertz, velocity of light in m/s, and coefficient of path-loss exponent, respectively. Fig. 3 depicts the RSS profile of each sensors suffering pathloss only.

The RFG algorithm is briefly described, in which the detail can be found in [8]. The sample of measurement, obtained by the factor node $F$, is corrupted by zero mean Gaussian. The variable node $P$ passes the logarithmic scale of RSS in dB to the linear plane least square (LS) in the factor node $E$ as the SI message. Factor node $E$ converts the RSS SI of the target to be the target coordinate SI by using the linear plane equation.\footnote{The equation is created by performing LS to the RSS of training signal and the coordinates of the monitoring spots during off-line phase.} The linear plane equation created in factor node $E$ has been derived in [8], as

$$a_x x + a_y y + a_p p = c,$$

where $a_x, a_y$, and $a_p$ are coefficients of the plane equation; $x$ and $y$ are axes 2-D linear scale plane which is represented as monitoring spots grid; $p$ is the RSS at the node $(x, y)$ appropriated by the linear plane in the logarithmic scale; and $c$ is non-zero constant which is set to one in this paper.

The LS algorithm is used to obtain the coefficients $a_x, a_y$, and $a_p$. Equation (20) can be expressed into matrix as

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{C},$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ is matrix of $x, y$, and $p$; and $\mathbf{a}$ is vector of coefficients. The LS solution to (20) is the given by

$$\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{B}^T \cdot \mathbf{B})^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{B}^T \cdot \mathbf{C}.$$
with the path-loss exponent $n_p = 3$, reference distance $d_0 = 100$ m, and frequency carrier $f = 1e9$ Hz.

The following parameters were used to evaluate the proposed technique: a) 50, 100, and 200 iterations for each trial, b) 1000 samples, c) 3 sensors, d) The measurement error values in signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), 0 – 30 dB. In this simulation, assume that the measurement samples are corrupted by measurement error having the same variance in every sensor for simplicity.

Figs. 6 and 7 show trajectory of the proposed technique within the three sensors. Initial point provided by the TFG technique is close to the target position at $(936, -436)$ m. It is found that the selected monitoring spots calculated by the TFG algorithm are always "idealistic ones", in this series of simulations.

Fig. 8 shows the accuracy of the proposed technique in term of root mean squared error (RMSE) versus SNR. It shows that accuracy of the proposed technique outperforms the TFG-only technique. The performance of proposed technique is close to the RFG having idealistic monitoring spots. The accuracy is approximately within 1.5 m at 15 dB of SNR.

IV. CONCLUSION

A new wireless geolocation technique using hybrid TOA and RSS factor graph (TRFG) has been proposed in this paper. The TFG is used for selecting the most appropriate
Fig. 8. RMSE of the proposed technique and TFG

four monitoring spots to be utilized in the RFG algorithm. Simulation results show that the proposed technique provides much higher accuracy over the TFG-only in the terms of RMSE. This is because the TFG technique is used only for appropriate monitoring spots identification, by which the problem due to the high sensitivity to timing asynchronism with TFG can well be avoided. It has been shown that RMSE performance of the RFG technique with idealistic monitoring spot selection and the proposed TRFG technique are almost identical, even in the presence of timing asynchronism. This indicates that with the help of the TFG technique, monitoring spots can be selected most suitably for the RFG technique, and hence the performance is equivalent to that with idealistic RFG.
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