

Title	Involutiveな部分構造論理に対する証明論的アプローチ
Author(s)	瀧田, 康晴
Citation	
Issue Date	2008-03
Type	Thesis or Dissertation
Text version	author
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10119/4360
Rights	
Description	Supervisor:小野寛晰, 情報科学研究科, 修士

A Proof-Theoretic Approach to Involutive Substructural Logics

Yasuharu Takida (510062)

School of Information Science,
Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

February 7, 2008

Keywords: involutive, substructural logics, Positive fragments, The *Kolmogorov*-style translation, *Glivenko's* theorem.

1 Introduction

Roughly speaking, substructural logics are obtained from Classical logic (LK) or Intuitionistic logic (LJ) by delating all or some of structural rules when they are formalized in sequent systems. Until now, there are many studies in such systems. For example, *what sort of logical properties hold or not*, or *clarifying relations between structural rules and logical properties*, and so on. In these studies, there are mainly two methods, i.e., syntactical and semantical ones. In this thesis, we apply a syntactical method for involutive substructural logics, which are substructural logics satisfying the double negation law.

The main purpose of this paper is to extend Kolmogorov's and Glivenko's theorems to those of substructural logics. To do this, we need to introduce new translations. By using them, we can show that similar results of Kolmogorov and Glivenko hold on substructural logics.

2 Basic substructural logics

The most fundamental substructural logic FL is obtained from LJ by eliminating all of structural rules. The CFL is defined from LK in the

same way as above. The *InFL* is the *FL* which has the double negation law (or involution) $\neg\neg\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ as an initial sequent. The *FLe*, *CFLe* and *InFLe* is obtained from *FL*, *CFL* and *InFL*, respectively, by adding the exchange rule. The *CFLe* and *FLe* are called also MALL (multiplicative additive linear logic) and intuitionistic linear logic, respectively.

In this paper, we assume that the exchange rule always holds. So, we do not deal with non-commutative cases.

3 positive fragments

In this section, we discuss about positive fragments, which play an important role when we study a translation on substructural logics.

Definition 1. (*positive formula*)

A formula ϕ is positive if it does not have any occurrences of \neg .

Definition 2. (*positive fragment*)

For each logic L , L^+ (called positive fragment of L) is the set of all positive formula provable in L .

Then, the following holds.

Theorem 1. $CFLew^+ \vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \alpha$ iff $FLe^+ \vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \alpha$

Theorem 2. $CFLe^+ \vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \alpha$ iff $FLe^+ \vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \alpha$

On the other hand, we can't show the similar result does not hold between $LK^+(CFLecw^+)$ and $LJ^+(FLe^+)$ since the existence of right-contraction rule deteriorates the situation.

4 The Kolmogorov-style translation

In this section, we introduce new translation S . To understand our idea, we first explain Kolmogorov's theorem and its extension for substructural logic due to Matsuda.

Definition 3. (*The Kolmogorov-style translation*)

For any formula C , $T(C)$ is defined inductively as follow;

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\perp) &:= \neg\neg\perp \\
T(\top) &:= \top \\
T(p) &:= \neg\neg p \quad (p \text{ is a propositional variable}) \\
T(A \wedge B) &:= \neg\neg(T(A) \wedge T(B)) \\
T(A \rightarrow B) &:= \neg\neg(T(A) \rightarrow T(B)) \\
T(A \vee B) &:= \neg(\neg T(A) \wedge \neg T(B)) \\
T(\neg A) &:= \neg T(A)
\end{aligned}$$

The following result is well-known as Kolmogorov's theorem.

Theorem 3. $LJ \vdash T(\Gamma) \Rightarrow T(D) \quad \text{iff} \quad LK \vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow D$

Matsuda extended the Kolmogorov-style translation to substructural logics, and showed Theorem 4.

Definition 4.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(0) &:= 0 \\
T(1) &:= \neg\neg 1 \\
T(A \bullet B) &:= \neg\neg(T(A) \bullet T(B))
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.

$$\begin{aligned}
FLe \vdash T(\Gamma) \Rightarrow T(D) & \quad \text{iff} \quad CFLe \vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow D \\
FLew \vdash T(\Gamma) \Rightarrow T(D) & \quad \text{iff} \quad CFLe w \vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow D \\
FLec \vdash T(\Gamma) \Rightarrow T(D) & \quad \text{iff} \quad CFLe c \vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow D
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce an alternative translation S for substructural logics as follows;

Definition 5. (*translation S*)

$$\begin{aligned}
S(p) &:= \neg\neg p \quad (p \text{ is a propositional variable or constant}) \\
S(\neg A) &:= \neg S(A) \\
S(A \bullet B) &:= \neg\neg(S(A) \bullet S(B)) \\
S(A \vee B) &:= \neg\neg(S(A) \vee S(B)) \\
S(A \wedge B) &:= S(A) \wedge S(B) \\
S(A \rightarrow B) &:= S(A) \rightarrow S(B)
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4 holds also for S , since

Lemma 1. $FLe \vdash S(D) \Leftrightarrow T(D)$. *is provable in FLe*

By Lemma 1, we can replace all of S in Theorem 3 and 4 by T .

5 Glivenko's theorem

Theorem 5. (*Glivenko's theorem*)

For any formula A , A is provable in classical propositional logic LK if $\neg\neg A$ is provable in intuitionistic propositional logic LJ .

This theorem shows that we can embed LK into LJ by the double-negation translation.

Let L be an involutive substructural logic such that $L \supseteq FLe$. Define K_0 by

$$K_0 \quad : \quad FLe \quad + \quad \neg(\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \neg(\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\beta) \\ + \quad \neg(\alpha \wedge \beta) \rightarrow \neg(\neg\neg\alpha \wedge \neg\neg\beta)$$

Then, we can show the following

Theorem 6. $CFLe \vdash \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad K_0 \vdash \neg\neg\varphi$

Theorem 7. $CFLe_w \vdash \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad K_0 + \neg\beta \rightarrow \neg(\alpha \bullet \beta) \vdash \neg\neg\varphi$

Theorem 8. $CFLe_c \vdash \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad K_0 + \neg(\alpha \bullet \alpha) \rightarrow \neg\alpha \vdash \neg\neg\varphi$

In fact, each logic in the right-hand side is the smallest logic for which Glivenko theorem holds with respect to the logic in the left-hand side.

6 Conclusion

In the present thesis, we extend both *Kolmogorov* translation and *Glivenko* translation to translation among substructural logics, and show translation results by using proof-theoretic methods.

References

- [1] D.V.Dalen, *Logic and Structure -Fourth Edition-*, Springer, Utrecht University, 2003.

- [2] N.Galatos, P.Jipsen, T.Kowalski and H.Ono, *Residuated Lattices: an algebraic glimpse at substructural logics*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, vol.151, Elsevier, April, 2007
- [3] N.Galatos and H.Ono, *Glivenko theorems for substructural logics over FL*, Journal of Symbolic Logic, 71(2006), pp.1353-1384.
- [4] H.Ono, *Structural rules and a logical hierarchy*, in Mathematical Logic, edited by P.P. Petkov, Plenum Press, 1990, pp.95-104.
- [5] Ono Hiroakira, *Jyoho Kagaku ni okeru Ronri*, Nihon Hyouronsha, in Japanese, 1994.
- [6] H.Ono, *Proof-theoretic methods in nonclassical logic —an introduction*, in Theories of Types and Proofs, MSJ-Memoir 2, edited by M.Takahashi, M.Okada and M.Dezani, Mathematical Society of Japan, 1998, pp.207-254.
- [7] A.S.Troelstra, *Lectures on Linear Logic*, CSLI Lecture Notes 29, Stanford University, 1992.