

Title	Automatic Extraction of Named Entity Related Relations for Searching
Author(s)	Nguyen, Thanh Tri
Citation	
Issue Date	2008-03-04
Type	Conference Paper
Text version	publisher
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10119/8226
Rights	
Description	JAIST 21世紀COEシンポジウム2008「検証進化可能電子社会」= JAIST 21st Century COE Symposium 2008 Verifiable and Evolvable e-Society, 開催：2008年3月3日～4日, 開催場所：北陸先端科学技術大学院大学, GRP研究員発表会 セッションA-2発表資料

Automatic Extraction of Named Entity Related Relations for Searching

Nguyen Thanh Tri
 School of Information Science
 t-thanh@jaist.ac.jp

1. The aim of research

Named entities (NEs) play an important role in many Natural Language Processing applications including semantic search. Discovering the NE-related relations may be beneficial to these applications. Our study proposes a to extract relations of NEs in the form of $\langle ne, category, related-to, object \rangle$ quadruples which describe that the named entity ne ISA $category$, and the $category$ IS-RELATED-TO $object$. We extended the Person Category Extraction (PCE) algorithm to extract these tuples, and experiments on Wall Street Journal (WSJ) corpus give promising results.

2. The approach

Text documents often contain valuable relations of entities. For example, in the sentence taken from the WSJ corpus: *There's a generally more positive attitude toward the economy, said Bette Raptapoulos, analyst for Prudential-Bache Securities Inc., ...* (1) there are relations: "Bette Raptapoulos" is-a analyst, and analyst for "Prudential-Bache Securities Inc." Such relations may be beneficial in many NLP applications, such as for answering *Who* and *List* questions, e.g., "Who is Bette Raptapoulos?" or "Give me the list of analyst for Prudential-Bache Securities Inc."




Figure 1. PCE's model

Person Category Extraction:

The purpose of NECE is to extract $\langle named_entity, category \rangle$ tuples, in which $category$ is the fine-grained categories of $named_entity$, so the set of named entity classes can be expanded by automatically extracting from texts. When we extract the tuple \langle “Bette Raptapoulos”, “analyst” \rangle from (1), we only have information: “Bette Raptapoulos” ISA “analyst”. If we can extract the relation: “analyst” for “Prudential-Bache Securities Inc.”, we will have complete information about “Bette Raptapoulos”. The PCE algorithm is depicted in Figure 1. Starting with two seed patterns, PCE extracted $\langle person, category \rangle$ tuples. The extracted tuples were used to extract the occurrences of $\langle person, category \rangle$ tuples in texts for generating new patterns. Again, new patterns were used to extract new tuples. The process terminated when no more patterns were produced. A pattern is defined as a 4-tuple: $(order, person_slot, middle, category_pattern)$, where $order$ indicates the occurrence order of person and category in a sentence; $person_slot$ is a slot which will be replaced with a person named entity; $middle$ is the string surrounded by person and category; $category_pattern$ is defined as: $category_pattern := noun_phrase1 (and\ noun_phrase2)?$ where $noun_phrase_i$ is a regular expression that matches a noun phrase with added POS tags. In order to extract new $\langle person, category \rangle$ tuples, for every sentence s , from a pattern, and for each person NE $named_entity$ in s , we construct a regular expression:

$* named_entity\ middle\ category_pattern *$

If s matches the above regular expression, the $\langle person, category \rangle$ tuple is extracted. For improving the performance of the algorithm, at each match if the $category$ is not valid (i.e., the $category$ is not a type of person) the match is ignored.

An occurrence of a person, category tuple is defined as a 4-tuple: $\langle order, person, middle, category \rangle$, where $middle$ is a string surrounded by person and category. An occurrence of a $(person, category)$ tuple is extracted if a sentence s matches the regular expression: $* person\ middle\ category *$ or $* category\ middle\ person *$ After having been extracted, occurrences are used to generate new patterns. A $middle$ of an occurrence is not necessarily reliable,

some constraints were proposed to retain reliable ones: Repetition of a middle ($\text{repetition}(\text{middle})$) is the number of times the middle appears between the person and category of $\langle \text{person}, \text{category} \rangle$ tuples of same person. Diversity of a middle ($\text{diversity}(\text{middle})$) is the number of times the middle appears between the person and category of $\langle \text{person}, \text{category} \rangle$ tuples of different persons. A middle that has $\text{repetition}(\text{middle}) > \text{threshold}_R$ seems reliable and is kept. A pattern seems specific if it is generated based on tuples of a person, so only middles that have $\text{diversity}(\text{middle}) > \text{threshold}_D$ are kept to make the generated patterns general (Condition 1). If a middle contains a verb phrase, the verb phrase should express the relation person ISA category (Condition 2).

Named-Entity-Related Relations Extraction:

We extend the PCE to extract $\langle \text{named_entity}, \text{category}, \text{related-to}, \text{object} \rangle$ quadruples describing the relations: *named_entity* ISA *category* (or ISA relations for short), and *category* *related-to* *object* (or *related-to* relations for short). From our observations, the related-to relations can be expressed in the following ways:

- a) The *category* and *object* are linked by a preposition: “*category preposition object*”, e.g., “analyst for Prudential-Bache Securities Inc.”
- b) The *category* and *object* are connected by a possessive apostrophe, e.g., “Semi-Tech’s chief executive officer”. This can be interpreted as “category of object”, e.g., “chief executive officer of Semi-Tech”.
- c) The *object* and *named_entity* are linked by a preposition, e.g., “. . . said economist David Littmann of Manufacturers National Bank . . .”, from which an expected quadruple is $\langle \text{“David Littmann”, “economist”, “of”, “Manufacturers National Bank”} \rangle$.
- d) The *object* is embedded in *category*, e.g., “IBM president”. This can also be interpreted as “category of *object*”, e.g., “president of IBM”.
- e) The *related-to* relation is implicitly expressed, e.g., “Mr. Baird, who heads the Manhattan U.S. attorney’s securities-fraud unit, denied the quote . . .”, from which an expected quadruple is $\langle \text{“Baird”, “head”, “of”, “securities-fraud unit”} \rangle$.

Since case e) does not have fixed expressions, we do not treat such cases. In case d), because *object* is already embedded in *category*, we do not need to extract the *object*. For cases a), b) and c), we construct regular expressions to extract the *object* and *related-to*.

Utilization of Named-Entity-Related Relations for Semantic Search:

The extracted $(\text{named_entity}, \text{category}, \text{related-to}, \text{object})$ quadruples are valuable for NLP applications. In this section, we use them for answering some types of questions. If *named_entity* in a quadruple is a person, the quadruple helps answer the query: “Who is *named_entity*?”, e.g., “Who is Bette Raptapoulos?” If *named_entity* is of another type, such as *organization* or *location*, the quadruple helps answer the query: “What is *named_entity*?”, e.g., “What is IBM?” For answering the question, we just search for quadruples having the same *named_entity* as that of the question. If a quadruple is found, then the answer is: “*named_entity* is a(n) *category* *related-to* *object*”.

The extracted quadruples also help answer *list* questions, e.g., “Give me the list of analyst for Prudential-Bache Securities Inc.” The general form of this question type is “Give me the list of *category* [*related-to* *object*]”, where the part in square brackets is optional. For answering this question type, we search for the list *L* of quadruples having the same *category*, [*related-to*, and *object*] as those of the question. The answer is the list of *named_entity* of quadruples in *L*.

3 The progress of the search

Our work was published in the “Proceedings of the 21st Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation” (PACLIC21).

4 Future direction

There are still other named-entity-related relations in documents, and discovering such relations may help answer other question types. In the future, we intend to study to extract other relations in documents for searching.

5 Publication

1. **T. T. Nguyen** and A. Shimazu, “Automatic Extraction of the Fine Category of Person Named Entities from Text Corpora”, *IEICE Transactions on Information and Systems, Special section on Knowledge, Information and Creativity Support System*, Vol. E90-D, No. 10, pp. 1542-1549, 2007.
2. **T. T. Nguyen** and A. Shimazu, “Acquisition of Named-Entity-Related Relations for Searching”, *Proceedings of the 21st Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation*, (PACLIC21), pp. 349-357, 2007.
3. **T. T. Nguyen**, L. M. Nguyen, and A. Shimazu, “Using Semi-supervised Learning for Question Classification”, *Journal of Natural Language Processing*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2008. (to appear)